## External sandhi as gestural overlap? Counter-evidence from Sardinian

D. ROBERT LADD AND JAMES M. SCOBBIE

IN J. Local, R. Ogden, R. Temple (eds.)
Phonetic Interpretation: Papers in
Laboratory Phonedogy VI.
Cambridge Universes, 2003.

## 9.1 Models of assimilatory external sandhi

External sandhi stands right at the heart of a number of current issues in phonology and phonetics. The traditional assumption is that such 'phonological adjustments' involve the categorical modification of the affected segment or segments, e.g. the coalescence in English of /t#j/ to /tf/ in past your prime, or the change in that case of /t#k/ to /k/. Hayes's (1986a) analysis of the assimilatory external sandhi of Toba Batak established that autosegmental feature spreading, the canonical mechanism for analysing assimilation (Goldsmith 1979; Clements 1976), was able to account for complex sandhi patterns just by linking and delinking autosegments. Such feature spreading, which relies crucially on the traditional assumption of categorical phonological modifications at word boundaries, has subsequently been the received analysis of external sandhi generally, including the English cases mentioned above.

More recently, however, a number of studies on English (Barry 1985; Wright and Kerswill 1989; Browman and Goldstein 1989, 1990b; Nolan 1992; Holst and Nolan 1995; Nolan, Holst and Külhnert 1996; Zsiga 1995) have cast doubt on the assumption that external sandhi assimilation involves categorical modifications of segments. Rather, the appropriate processes appear to involve language-specific rules of phonetic co-articulation, usually expressed in terms of gestural overlap and gestural reduction. For example, neither the 'total'

### External sundhi as gestural overlap?

assimilation of word-final /t/ to word-initial /k/ in that case nor the 'partial' assimilation of word-final /s/ to word-initial /j/ in miss you are categorical—the putative outputs (/k/ and /ʃ/ respectively) are typically not the same as underlying /k/ and /ʃ/ in similar contexts but rather may incorporate residual aspects of the apparently deleted /t/ and /s/ (Local 1992). Such behaviour has also been demonstrated in a number of languages other than English: Barry (1992) on Russian; Kühnert (1993) on German; Jun (1996) on Korcan; and Zsiga (1997) on Igbo.

As a result of this instrumental research, accounts of sandhi based on phonetic co-articulation are gaining acceptance. The leading model involves gestural overlap (Browman and Goldstein 1989, 1990b), in which phonetic segments are epiphenomenal, and the categorical transformation of one segment sequence into another is not predicted. In the analysis of that case, for example, the gestures of velar closure overlap the weakened gestures of alveolar closure and thereby mask them perceptually. This analysis explains the percept of total /t/ to /k/ assimilation while providing an account of the residual presence of gestures related to /t/. Traditional accounts deal only with the percept of assimilation.

segment contains a sequence of opposite values of a feature F.) Both arise when ble phonological representation' (Scobbie 1995). (Nolan 1992); and second, because the use of contour segments - seldom used cause it relies on a phonetic component which is not specified in any detai ses than the gestural overlap model. It also, arguably, less convincing: first, beassociations between word-final and word-initial segments are made but underphonological representation: the complex segment (1c) and the contour segment Hayes (1992), following Nolan (1992), employs two further distinct classes of model is not adequate for the cases of English assimilatory external sandhi, possible outcomes for that case: no assimilation (as shown in la below) or comin underlying representations - results in a less predictive conception of 'possi lying links are not broken. This is a less radical departure from traditional analy-(1d). (The complex segment has two places of articulation, while the contour the delinking and deletion of the final consonant /V (as seen in 1b). Since this plete assimilation by means of a new association to the initial consonant /k/ and Hayes's original feature-spreading model of assimilation provides only two



Despite the obvious differences between the revised autosegmental approach and the gestural overlap model, it is important to note that both are intended to reflect the non-categorical nature of the English external sandhi mentioned

gest otherwise. This finding is relevant to conceptions of the phonetappropriate analysis of the data discussed here, but our instrumental results sugreinvestigations of phonological data. ics/phonology interface and further confirms the importance of laboratory-based assumption was that a phonetically based framework would provide the more under close phonetic scrutiny, turn out to be non-categorical. Our own initial It is an empirical question whether all cases of assimilatory external sandhi

## 9.2 Sardinian lenition and gemination

nants and the system of codas in general.2 especially the status of geminates; third, alternations among word-final consonants; second, the system of oppositions in (word-medial) intervocalic position, general aspects of Sardinian phonology: first, alternations in word-initial consothe north-western quarter of the island. We begin with a brief sketch of three sandhi system of Sardinian, specifically Logudorese, a dialect group spoken in The specific empirical phenomenon with which we are concerned is the external

### 9.2.1 External sandhi part 1: initial lenition

stops (2c), when intervocalic (2e'), disappear, leaving only vowels in hiatus There are no word-initial voiced fricatives except in loanwords. when intervocalic (2c'd'), are voiced with a weak closure.3 Voiced word-initial (2nb) are voiced when intervocalic (2a b). Voiceless word-initial stops (2cd) context /V\_rV/ despite the intervening rhotic.) Voiceless word-initial fricatives involving the intervocalic lenition of obstruents. ('Intervocalic' includes the There are many synchronic alternations across word boundaries in Sardinian

#### External sandhi as gestural overlap?

				(2)
e. [ˈbakːa]	d. [ˈkane]	c. (tera)	b. [ˈsaldu]	a. [ˈſɪnde]
'cow'	'dob'	'land'	'Sardinian'	'brolher'
c'. [sa.'ak:a]	d´. [suˈganɛ]	c'. [sa'dem]	b'. [su'zaldu]	a´. [su'vrade]
	] 'the dog'			the

illustrated in (3), taken from unscripted recordings of one of our speakers. \* may (and often does) apply across quite major phonological boundaries. This is Intervocalic lenition does not merely occur within closely linked phrases, but

a. [azentezugustrentrevritu] c. [ˈmarkɔˌgereˌmːaŋdiˈgarezuˈþudɨu] b. [ˈmarkɔvaˈed̞iaˈbiɛneˈgɔmɔ] /as entesu kustu bentu frittu/ /marko faeddat bene komo/ /marko keret mandigare su puddw/ 'Marco wants to eat the chicken' 'Did you hear that cold wind?' 'Marco speaks well now'

 $\overline{\omega}$ 

as exceptional underlying geminates. By contrast, the alternations between inithere are many lexemes which do not alternate (4b). implementation. There are a few lexical exceptions (4a), but these can be treated tial voiced stops and zero (2e) are readily remarked on by native speakers, and voiceless obstruents (2a-d), suggesting that it is a matter of 'low-level' phonetic Indeed, native speakers appear largely unaware of the effects of lenition on

b. [du'tiore]	a. [ˈtia]
'doctor' b	
. `	•
b'. [suduttore]	[sa'tun]
the doctor	'the aunt'

 $\mathfrak{E}$ 

## Word-medial contrusts of length and strength

of phonetic duration (5), signalling an underlying opposition between 'long' or In word-medial position, Sardinian sonorants display a clearly audible contrast 'geminate' versus 'short' or 'singleton'.

	5)
(m.sg.)' c. [ˈmaພວzɔ]	a. ['belæ] b. ['foru]
'big (m.pl.)'	'beautiful (f.sg.)'
Versus	versus
['manəzə]	['mɛla] ['bcru]
'hands'	'apple'

The same opposition is said to apply to obstruents as well (6)

born*	o. (Kagiu) e. ('nadu)	e 5	Luisa (innie)	b. [luˈɪza]	
, cart,	[gatu]	r is	ж. Ж.	a. ['is:u]	6

The difficulty of establishing strictly durational correlates of gemination, however, especially for stops, is well known (cf. Contini 1987; 56; Jones 1988;

321). More generally, there is a close link between gemination and the intervocalic lenition described in the preceding section, such that 'geminate' is essentially equivalent to 'not lenited'. For fricatives there is only a two-way lexical contrast, between a long voiceless geminate fricative and a short voiced lenited one, e.g. [s:] versus [z] (6a,b). For stops, there is a three-way distinction: between voiced and voiceless 'geminates' on the one hand, which are clearly articulated as stops and may be of long duration, and a short voiced weakly articulated obstruent (a lenited stop?) on the other, e.g. [t:] versus [d:] versus [d] (6c-e). The weakly articulated obstruent in (6e) is apparently identical to the lenited intervocalic alternant of initial voiceless stops seen in (2c', d') above.

## 9.2.3 External sandhi part 2: word-final consonants

The close link between gemination and lenition just illustrated becomes even clearer when we consider word-final consonants. Sardinian has four such consonants, h is n n', but they are subject to a rich set of alternations caused by severe restrictions on codas.

#### 9.2.3.1 Prevocalic position

When a word ending in a consonant is followed in a phrase by a vowel-initial word, intervocalic lenition of obstruents takes place (7), exactly as in (2) above. Since sonorants do not lenite, /n/ and /r/ simply appear as [n], [r].

(7) a. [benidin'domo] /benit in domo/ 's/he comes in the house' b. [trezamigozo] /tres amigos/ 'three friends'

Furthermore, in phrase-final position, the word-final consonant is normally followed by a so-called 'paragogic' vowel, which in quality is a copy of the immediately preceding vowel. This vowel, though it may be whispered or otherwise weakly articulated, has the effect of making the final consonant intervocalic (8), thereby leniting it if it is an obstruent (/s/ or /t/). Since citation forms are phrase-final, no citation form ends in a consonant.

a. [beniḍi] /benit/ 's/he comes' b. [beninl] /benin/ 'they come' c. [kanezɛ] /kanes/ 'dogs'

#### 9.2.3.2 Preconsonantal position

When a word ending underlyingly in a final consonant is followed by a word which is consonant-initial, the potential for a consonant sequence arises. Some such abutting clusters are potentially 'fake geminates' (Hayes 1986a), i.e. sequences of identical consonants (9). Obstruent fake geminates (9a) are voiceless: sonorant fake geminates (9b) are impressionistically of long duration.

#### External sandhi as gestural overlap?

	9
b. [r'niuɔrɔ]	a. [sosiantozo]
/in moro/	/sos santos/
'in Nuoro'	'the saints'

Phonetic consonant sequences appear phonetically when a word-final continuant (/s/ or /r/) is followed by a non-identical consonant. Note that the contrast between /s/ and /r/ is neutralised in this context; both are realised phonetically as [s] before /p t k/ and /s/, and as [l] elsewhere (10). We introduce here the term 'variable continuant coda' to refer to neutralisable /s/ and /r/.

		ē
c. [sɔsˈpudːɔzɔ]	<ul><li>b. [bat:::d'fradeze]</li></ul>	a. [trelimanozo]
/sos puddos /	/battor frades /	/ues manos/
'the chickens'	'four brothers'	'three hands'

Sequences of *InI* plus obstruent (11) are most often realised as homorganic sequences of nasal plus obstruent.

# (11) [sumbessidoz2] /sum bessidos/ 'they have gone out'

However, in some external sandli consonant sequences, there is apparently total assimilation of the final consonant to the initial consonant, giving rise to 'geminates'. This occurs with the final /t/ of third-person-singular verb endings and to the final /n/ of the negative particle /non/. If the following word-initial consonant is a sonorant, the resulting 'geminate' is durationally long, like the lexical geminate sonorants. If it is an obstruent, the 'geminate' is of indeterminate duration, but it is voiceless and, if it is a stop, it is fully articulated as such. That is, it is protected from lenition even though it is intervocalic. Such cases are shown in (12). In what follows, we refer to these non-lenited apparent geminates in external sandhi contexts as 'postlexical geminates' (PLGs).

					(12)
f. [noftagemudia]	e. [aſ:aɛˈd̞:aḍu]	d. [ap:o'valiu]	c. [a'fiat:u]	b. [ap:ɔˌmaṇdi'gaḍu]	a. [ສກເສກຼຢູ່ໃງອຢູ່ແ]
/non faget mudda/	/at faeddadu/	/appo fattu/	/at fattu/	/appo mandigadu/	/at mandigadu/
'it doesn't matter'	's/lic spoke'	'I did'	's/hc did'	'I alc'	's/he atc'

The analysis of PLGs as true geminates is supported by the existence of a handful of proclitic function words apparently ending in a vowel which behave nevertheless as if they end in a consonant when they are followed by a word which itself begins with a consonant. Specifically, they protect the following word-initial consonant from lenition. This behaviour is generally analysed as the reflex of a final phantom consonant or empty skeletal slot (e.g. Bolognesi 1998), which gives rise to the gemination of the following word-initial consonant. Contrast the preposition /a<sup>C</sup>/c, which contains the phantom (13a), with the truly vowel-final preposition /dae/ (13b).

	(E3)
b. [dacþaˈlɛmɔ]	a. [ap:aˈlɛmɔ]
/dae palermo/	/a <sup>C</sup> palermo/)
'from Palermo'	'to Palermo'

## 9.2.4 The categorical status of postlexical geminates

The facts just sketched are germane to the issues raised in the introduction. Specifically, they raise the question of whether the PLGs – the durationally long sonorants and unlenited obstruents that arise in sandhi contexts (examples 9, 12, 13) – can be identified with the word-medial lexical geminates (5, 6). A traditional analysis (e.g. Pittau 1991) would treat the total assimilation cases in (12, 13) as involving the creation of segments that are categorically identical to underlying word-medial geminates. Such an analysis is readily translated into the traditional autosegmental notation, using feature spreading and delinking (14):

The categorical-identity analysis is supported by impressionistic phonetic observations: there is a clearly perceptible difference in duration between the PLG [mi] in (12a) and the singleton [mi] in (12b), just like the lexical contrast. Similarly, the PLG [fi] in (12c) – represented autosegmentally in (14) – is clearly voiceless even though it is phonetically intervocalic, while the fricative in (12d) is voiced and somewhat shorter, again like the lexical contrast.

One can equally well conceive, however, of a gestural (or revised autosegmental) account of PLGs, in which word-final and word-initial consonants overlap, producing a surface segment that is unlenited (because it is not truly intervocalic) and of relatively long duration. It would thereby resemble word-medial geminates phonetically without being the same phonologically. In some cases this analysis seems highly appropriate: we sometimes observe audible nasalisation on the vowel preceding the final /n/ of the prepositions /in/ 'in' and /kun/ 'with', although the /n/ itself has apparently assimilated to the following consonant (15). Such behaviour is typical of gestural overlap. <sup>5</sup>

However, the negative particle /non/ (12f) never gives rise to observable residual nasalisation: is not clear how a gestural account would deal with this kind of lexical sensitivity. Other challenges for a gestural approach are; first, the final alternation involving /s/ and /r/, which does not seem reducible to gestural weakening; second, the fact that final consonants other than /n/ do not reveal any residual quality or quantity; and third, the phantom consonant (13).

The discussion so far, like much of the literature, represents the phonetic data in terms of segmental transcriptions. Yet it has been on the basis of instrumentally measurable phonetic properties, not transcription, that investigations of

### External sandhi as gestural overlap?

English external sandhi have discovered that postlexical segments arising from assimilation are *not* the same as lexical segments. The patterns of external sandhi in Sardinian are therefore an obvious candidate for instrumental study.

### 9.3 The experimental study

#### 9.3.1 Introduction

we do not report them here. it more phonetically transparent. MT's results appear compatible with LS's, but string of citation forms complete with paragogic vowels, or simply get confused. t and n were present orthographically before a consonant, MT would produce a ances from these materials. MT found Pittau's system too abstract: if word-final the orthography used by Pittau (1991). LS had no trouble producing fluent uttersion of the phonemic transcriptions given in this paper, essentially the same as clicit Sardinian by presenting sentences written in Italian, due to the potential anticipating. However, LS and MT do not speak English, and we did not want to well, though it meant that we did not always get the Sardinian forms we were orthography for Sardinian. For MS, we wrote sentences in English, which she We therefore altered the orthography by removing t and n in these cases, making translated silently and then spoke aloud. This procedure worked reasonably trolled speech materials was made difficult by the fact that there is no standard Our instrumental measurements are based on recordings of three speakers, MS, for cross-language interference. For LS, we were able to use an Italianised ver-LS, and MT, of whom LS is our main experimental speaker. 6.7 Creating con-

Our experimental work focuses on two related questions: whether positexical geninates are of comparable duration to texical geninates; and whether the word-medial contrast between singleton and geminate is comparable to the word-initial alternation between lenited and 'protected' consonants. In a pre-liminary study (Ladd and Scobbie 1996) we reported on semi-systematic recordings of MS and LS. Our preliminary conclusion, later cited by Bolognesi (1998: 159), was that positexical geninates are on average rather shorter than texical geninates. Consequently we favoured an analysis employing gestural overlap.

However, there is a problem with this conclusion, namely that the prosodic context of the typical PLG is quite different from that of the typical lexical geminate. PLGs are word-initial and therefore by definition they precede the lexically stressed syllable. Lexical geminates, on the other hand, are most commonly encountered immediately following lexically stressed vowels. Moreover, because underlying geminates (with one or two marginal exceptions) never occur in word-initial position, they will always occur nearer to the end of the word than PLGs. Both of these factors – position relative to stress, and position in word – seemed to have substantial effects on duration in our preliminary data,

especially at the end of an utterance; ceteris paribus, post-tonic consonants are longer than other consonants, and consonants at the end of a word are longer than medial ones. We also discovered that phrase-final post-tonic consonants should be subdivided into (a) those which were absolutely the last consonant in the phrase (because they were heavily lengthened in words like /manu, mannu, issu, fattu, kaddu/) and (b) all others. We do not have the space to report further details but see Tables 9.1 and 9.2 below.

In the experiments reported here we have thus tried to use materials in which the lexical geminates and the putative PLGs occur in the same prosodic context. However, there is a potentially significant dilemma here — the workings of the language make it almost impossible to design such materials. That is, our pre-liminary conclusion may well have been an 'artefact' of our experimental materials, yet it does nevertheless represent a valid observation about Sardinian phonetics. The statistical patterns of the language are not the same as the structural patterns. Clearly, both need to be considered as we work toward an understanding of the phonology/phonetics interface and the utility of an experimental approach to phonology (cf. Hay, Pierrehumbert and Beckman's paper in this volume).

Experiment I deals with postlexical geminates and lexical geminates, comparing them to each other and to the relevant singletons. Experiment 2 is a follow up in which we consider postlexical and word-medial underlying consonant sequences.

## 9.3.2 Experiment 1: geminates and singletons

#### 9.3.2.1 Method

Our materials were a set of 120 sentences, read aloud twice. Alveolar nasals were chosen as representatives of the sonorants: the materials elicited singleton and geminate /n/ in word-medial position, and intervocalic word-initial /n/ in both lenited and nonlenited (PLG) alternants. Obstruents were also mostly represented by alveolars. Initial /f/ and /m/ in putative PLG environments were also included (permitting comparison with the postlexical sequences of Experiment 2). The word-final consonants which gave rise to PLGs were contained in /non/ 'not', /n<sup>c</sup>/ 'to', /at/ 'have-3rdsg', and /as/ 'have-2ndsg'.

We were careful to provide a variety of prosodic contexts for the consonants. Specifically, consonants appeared either immediately preceding the lexically stressed vowel ('tonic onset'), immediately following it ('post-tonic') or elsewhere ('non-tonic'). Additionally, we distinguished between phrase-medial and phrase-final position. Unless mentioned, all consonants were phonetically intervocalic, and none were utterance-initial.

Consequently, we do not collapse prosodic position in reporting our results of mean consonantal duration, as we did in Ladd and Scobbie (1996). (We do,

#### External sandhi as gestural overlap?

however, combine PLGs resulting from 'fake geminate' sequences like /s#s/ or /t#t/ with those resulting more clearly from assimilation, such as /t#s/.) This strategy often results in only small numbers of tokens in each cell, which makes statistical analysis inappropriate, especially given the fact, mentioned in Section 9.3.1, that the language makes it impossible to fill all the cells in a full factorial design. Nevertheless, many of our crucial conclusions are supported by t-tests on selected pairs of means. In Experiment 2 we have enough tokens in each cell to make the reporting of standard deviations appropriate. Otherwise, only the number of tokens is indicated in the tables of results along with the mean.

#### 9.3.2.2 Results and discussion

The durational basis of medial gemination in sonorants is illustrated in Table 9.1 for /n/ versus /nii/. Singleton /n/ has approximately half the duration of /nn/, a ratio that remains stable despite the effect of prosodic position on absolute duration. The singleton/geminate contrast is comparable, in absolute and relative terms, to the word-initial alternation between intervocalic [n] and postlexical geminate [n:]: word-initial singleton /n/ is about half the duration of the postlexical geminate. 8

Table 9.1 Mean duration (ms) of alveolar nasals. Initial nasals are tonic onset; medial nasals are post-tonic. The number of tokens is in italics.

Non-tonic Phrase-medial, tonic Phrase-final, tonic Last consonant in phrase	Position
58, 2 62, 3 55, 2	Init. /n/ [n]
83, /2 111, 5 130, 8	PLG /n/ [n:]
48, 11* 55, 2 78, 13	Med. /n/ [n]
100, 9 139, 3 165, <i>1</i>	/nn/ [p:]

<sup>\*</sup>Also, tonic onset Int was 52ms, n=10

The word-medial obstruent system is illustrated in Table 9.2.

Table 9.2 Mean duration (ms) of word-medial obstruents

Non-tonic Phrase-medial, tonic onset Phrase-medial, post-tonic Phrase-final, tonic onset Phrase-final, post-tonic Last consonant in phrase	Position
55, <i>I</i> 5	[ġ]
79, 18 79, 3 129, 9 153, 9	[d:]
97, 2 99, 35 121, 7 133, 14 203, 4	Ξ
135, 8	[z]
132, 5 138, 12 182, 5 194, 2	<b>S</b> 2

Consider the stops first. The stops [di] and [ti] have a fairly stable of duration ratio of nearly 1:1, suggesting they could both be analysed as geminate. This leaves the much shorter [d] as a likely singleton, though whether it is to be analysed as /t/ or /d/ is problematic. On either analysis the putative geminate/singleton ratio is highly variable across prosodic context, being largest (and most noticeable) word- and phrase-finally, e.g. in citation versions of many words (6c-e). The medial [di] (Table 9.2) is comparable in duration to lenited word-initial singleton /t/ and /d/ (Table 9.3). Table 9.3 also indicates that PLG word-initial /t/ is comparable to putative medial geminate /tt/ (Table 9.2). Consequently, our conclusion about the nasals is applicable to stops too: the contrast between medial geminate and singleton is categorically the same as the initial alternation between lenited singleton and PLG.

Table 9.3 Mean duration (ms) of word-initial coronal stops

Non-tonic Phrase-medial, tonic onset Phrase-final, tonic onset	Position
46, 4 49, 12 55, 4	// [d]
48, 6 55, 8 56, 6	[b] /P/
100, 6 119, 13	PLG /// [k]

We only have a small amount of data on the medial fricative contrast (Table 9.2), but rather more information on word-initial /s/ (Table 9.4). Lenited /s/ and /fl are fairly short, while PLG [s:] is comparable to the medial geminate.

### External sandhi as gestural overlap?

Table 9.4 Mean duration (ms) of word-initial lenited Ifland /s/, and of PLG [s:]

Phrase-medial, tonic onset Phrase-final, tonic onset	Pasition
69, <i>11</i> 95, 2	Z =
9, 5	[2]
- J	#s#s
152, 6	non#s
153, 4	nt#s
156, 8	a <sup>c</sup> #s

Our conclusion based on these data is that structurally there is a clear durational equivalence between lexical and postlexical geminates. Our results therefore confirm the position which Sardinian native speaker linguists have generally taken for granted (e.g. Pittau 1991; Bolognesi 1998; Molinu 1998). The PLGs resulting from external assimilation in Sardinian are not like the phonetic coarticulation cases in English discussed above. Rather, in the majority of cases there appears to be a categorical alternation word-initially between singletons and geminates, the same categories that are contrastive word-medially. Word-final consonants alternate, on the whole, with zero – they do not condition the residual extra duration which, as Browman (1995) has argued, occurs in English when extreme gestural overlap leads to the apparent deletion of the word-final consonant.

### 9.3.3 Experiment 2: coda-onset sequences

#### 9.3.3.1 Introduction

As mentioned in Section 9.2, there are cases in which word-initial consonants are protected from lenition by a preceding word-final consonant that is *overtly present* phonetically. It is present as residual nasalisation in the case of final /n/, and as an (unassimilated) independent segment in the case of variable continuant codas /s/ and /r/. Recall that these final continuants surface as [l] in some cases, such as before /l/ (16a), and as [s] before a word-initial voiceless stop (16b). It is clear that a complete examination of how initial segments are protected from lenition requires that, in addition to considering postlexical geminates (16cd), we must look at the postlexical sequences and their relationship to word-medial lexical ones (cf. Molinu MS).

			(16)
d. [nofingede]	c. [a'ໂໝໄໝ]	<ul><li>b. (soskad:ozo)</li></ul>	a. [alˈſatːu]
/non faget/	/at fattu/	/sos caddos/	/as fattu /
'it doesn't make'	's/hc made'	'the horses'	'you [sg] made'

Presumably postlexical sequences such as [If] and [sk] are structurally codaonset, with the onset being an unlenited singleton segment. In the categorical autosegmental analysis illustrated in (14), such protected singletons should be comparable in duration (all things being equal) to other singletons, and not to PLGs. This is because PLGs are formed by double linking as the result of assimilation. In the postlexical sequences there is no assimilation and no double linking, and there should therefore be no geminate duration.

#### .3.3.2 Method

In the dataset prepared for Experiment I we were able to include only a few materials eliciting postlexical sequences. Specifically, we collected data on final Inl before Isl, and on final Isl before initial Ifl. As with the other materials, it was not possible to get tokens in all environments. For example, medial [If] sequences are very rare and are mostly loans, so were not suitable for analysis. Note also that though there is preconsonantal neutralisation of word-final Isl and Itl, there is no such neutralisation word-medialty (illustrated by the nearminimal pair [oltu] Iortul 'garden' versus [postu] Ipostul 'place'). Consequently we collected no materials on medial sequences at this stage. Ultimately, however, supplementary material from LS was collected to enable comparison between medial and initial consonant sequences. The sequences used were [Im] and [s] before voiceless stops, and the second consonant was always tonic onset.

#### 9.3.3.3 Results and discussion

At the time of the oral version of this paper, the postlexical [If] sequences were the only cases of variable continuant coda plus onset included purposely in the materials. Contrary to our expectations, the singleton fricative in /s#f/ [If] (on the fourth row of Table 9.5) is comparable in duration to the PLG in /t#f/ and /non#f/, previously transcribed [f.]. One possible explanation would be that both structures conditioning word-initial [f.] (sequence and PLG) do so in the same way. Since gemination cannot be responsible for lengthening in the sequence, we considered the possibility that some sort of domain-initial strengthening of a singleton was responsible in PLGs and singletons alike. In fact, there is in fact no single source of [f.], as our results comparing initial and medial sequences, given below, make clear.

#### External sandhi as gestural overlap?

Table 9.5 Mean duration (ms) of phrase-medial word-initial Ift, hn/, hn/ in intervocalic position and when following word-final Itt, ht/ and /s/

vowel // /non/ /s/ [1]	context
	<u> </u>
75, 5 127, 7 142, 2 121, 5	non-tonic
69, 11 137, 4 125, 8 138, 2	tonic
	] =
58, 2 112, 3 128, 2	non-tonic
62, 3	tonic
	1 =
67, 2 96, 5 78, 4	non-tonic
72, 2 104, 5	tonic

Before reporting the results for such comparisons, we will make use of postlexical sequences which happened to be contained in the materials prepared for Experiment 1. There were a handful of examples of two further postlexical sequences, namely /s#n/ and /s#m/. From Table 9.5 it appears that word-initial /m/ is rather different from /fl. At 78 ms, the duration of postlexical (non-tonic) [m] in a sequence is intermediate between singleton and PLG. These data are worth reporting, since in the supplementary materials we targeted medial [lm] sequences and both postlexical sources of [lm] (namely /s#m/ and /r#m/).

Table 9.6 confirms the result in Table 9.5 that word-initial /m/ following a variable continuant coda is intermediate in duration between intervocalic singletons (72 ms) and PLGs (104 ms). Moreover, the duration of word-medial and word-initial /m/ (and [I]) is comparable, just as was observed for PLGs and geminates. Table 9.6 and Table 9.5 make a strong case that lexical and postlexical [Im] sequences contain a singleton /m/ which has a somewhat greater duration (as measured by acoustic segmentation) than an intervocalic singleton. Since this greater duration is not domain-initial, it cannot be implicated in the external sandhi system.

Table 9.6 Mean duration (ms) of variable continuant coda + /m/ {n=8} and word-medial /lm/ (n=9). NB, the figures in parentheses are standard deviations

Word-initial, phrase-medial, tonic onset Word-medial, phrase-medial, tonic onset	Position
70 (11) 67 (11)	[1]
92 (10) 89 (8)	[m]

Table 9.7 demonstrates that postlexical sequences of variable continuant coda and voiceless stop are also comparable in duration to medial sequences (of invariant /s/ and voiceless stop). Fricative duration, stop closure duration and VOT are very similar in each condition.

Table 9.7 Mean duration (ms) of variable continuant coda + voiceless stop (n=13) and word-medial /s' + voiceless stop (n=10). Standard deviations in parentheses

Word-initial, phrase-medial, tonic onset Word-medial, phrase-medial, tonic onset	Position	
81 (14) 85 (17)	[8]	
72 (11) 68 (8)	closure	ů
23 (13) 29 (12)	VOT	

Structurally, the stops are most plausibly singletons. Comparison with Table 9.3 indicates that these tonic singletons are shorter in duration than PLGs and the medial geminate [ti] (/tt/), and comparison with Tables 9.2 and 9.3 indicates that the singleton stops following [s] are greater in duration than the voiced lenited allophone of singleton /t/ (initial and medial). In other words, voiceless stops following [s] are intermediate in duration between PLGs and singletons. These results confirm that the behaviour of /m/ reported in Table 9.6 is not an aberration. In fact, it is the behaviour of /ff (and the two tokens of /n/) in Table 9.5 which is difficult to explain. It may be that unlenited [f] is simply inherently of greater duration in all contexts, and that (if the two tokens that we have measured are representative) it is the homorganicity of the [ln] cluster which leads to a geminate-like [ni]. Clearly, these results remain problematic and require further investigation.

Note that word-final [s] (from underlying /s/ or /r/) is a great deal shorter than medial /ss/ (even non-tonic /ss/) and PLG /s/. In fact, this singleton segment is entirely comparable in duration with initial and medial [z], a final piece of evidence in favour of the analysis of [z] as the lenited allophone of singleton /s/.

Finally, we return to materials collected at the same time as Experiment 1 in order to consider the case of final lnl, present in a few words such as the prepositions lnl with and lnl in'. Final lnl may condition residual nasalisation in some tokens, and hence is a prime candidate for a gestural overlap analysis. As far as we can tell, on the basis of a few tokens (Table 9.8), the duration of lsl following final lnl is intermediate between lenited singletons and PLGs. (Note also that protected lfl following linl was only 89ms (n=2) in phrase-medial nontonic position.) Just as in the more overt sequences [sp st sk] and [lf lm ln], the

#### External sandhi as gestural overlap?

initial consonant in these /n#s/ cases seems to be a slightly lengthened singleton, though a closer analysis is clearly required.

Table 9.8 Mean duration (ms) of word-initial /s/. Number of tokens in italics

Phrase-medial, non-tonic Phrase-medial, tonic onset Phrase-final, tonic onset	Prosodic position
105, 5	/kun#s/
110, 6 130, 2 141, 4	/in#s/

In summary, in postlexical position, therefore, initial voiceless stops (following [s]) and /m/ (following [1]) are not lenited, because they are not intervocalic, and at the same time they are not geninates, because the preceding word-final consonant has not assimilated to them totally. They are untenited singletons, which, because they are part of a cluster, are intermediate in duration between lenited singletons and geminates. The place and manner of the initial consonant appear to affect the extent of this increase in duration quite markedly. Similarly, final /n/ protects a following consonant from lenition even though /n/ is only present impressionistically in the form of a little residual nasalisation. The only information we have on a consonant following /n/ realised in this way relates to /s/: as we would now expect, it appears to be a singleton whose duration is intermediate between intervocalic singletons and postlexical geminates. We conclude that coda-onset sequences do not involve categorical postlexical assimilation.

### 9.4 Summary and conclusions

When prosodic context is controlled for, the absolute duration of postlexical geminates is very similar to that of lexical geminates. The ratios of medial geminate is very similar to that of lexical geminates. The ratios of medial geminate to singleton and word-initial PLG to singleton are also similar. This is true for sonorants, which have two clearly distinct durational categories, and for obstruents, in which the singleton category is expressed mainly through lenition, and the geminate category is not particularly long but is unlenited. The similarity between medial and initial position extends to consonant sequences.

From these results we conclude two things. First, we argue that gestural overlap is on the whole *not* a suitable model of most of the assimilatory external sandhi phenomena in Sardinian, and more generally that accounts of gestural overlap in some cases of English external sandhi cannot be carried over into all aspects of postlexical phonology. The major difference between Sardinian and

English is that Sardinian final consonants are generally lost with no residual effect on acoustic consonant duration and no observable residual effect on quality. In English assimilatory external sandhi, as noted above, some residual duration from 'deleted' word-final consonants can be detected even when there is no residual quality (Browman 1995). Such tokens have also been used as evidence that gestural overlap and reduction are not exclusively responsible for external sandhi in English (Nolan and Holst 1995; Nolan *et al.* 1996), but such examples generally appear at one extreme of a continuous spectrum of behaviour (though see Ellis and Hardeastle 1999). Phonetic variability of this type, which is so characteristic of English sandhi (cf. Brownan 1992), is not seen in Sardinian.

and geminate arising from external sandhi. two-term system of contrast and the postlexical alternation between singleton systematicity (e.g. Robins 1970). These categories are not, however, necessarily categories, and find against a strict interpretation of the Firthian notion of polysupport the theory that a language has a single phonological system of recurring of phonetic segment type across different positions in structure.9 We therefore tions of gestures (i.e. segments) which function also to express a word-initial ple, a three-term medial system has, as its phonetic exponents, certain constellapostlexical alternations may make use of the same categories as were estabphonemic at every place in structure: allophonic alternations which appear in sonorants and obstruents. We conclude that it is possible to make identifications lished for phonological contrasts elsewhere in the system. For stops, for examphonological word-medial contrast between singletons and geminates, in both netically comparable (in transcription and in acoustic segment duration) to the postlexically lenited and postlexically protected word-initial consonants is pho-Our second major conclusion arises from the fact that the alternation between

categorical cases have a language-specific gestural component too, to account overlap will be exclusively responsible for the residual nasalisation cases. The phonological processes combined with gestural overlap. In Sardinian, gestural and instead we favour a mixed analysis of external sandhi involving only simple segments (Hayes 1992). However, we have already reviewed briefly the argufor the phonetic characteristics of intervocalic singleton obstruents and the ef ments against such an extension (cf. Nolan 1992; Scobbic 1995; Zsiga 1997), as motivating an extended autosegmental model using complex and contour residual nasalisation resulting from 'assimilated' final /n/, which might be taken generate multiply-associated structures that are the same as underlying gemical (specifically, autosegmental) one, in which feature spreading and delinking tom consonant and final consonants generally. The only obvious problem is the 1998). This model, illustrated in (14), is sufficient for fake geminates, the phannates (Hayes 1986a, and, specifically for Sardinian, Bolognesi 1998, Molinu between systems with different numbers of contrasting members is a phonologi-One model of this categorical identity across different places in structure and

fects of prosodic position. At heart, though, the external sandhi system of Sardinian is truly phonological.

#### Notes

Our first debt is to our speakers, Luisa Sorace, Monica Tarantini and Marcella Sardu. Luisa in particular has spent many hours answering questions and making recordings, well beyond the call of the family duty that results from having one of the authors (DRL) as her son-in-law. Sadly, between the completion of this paper and the publication of this volume both Luisa and Monica have died. We dedicate this paper to them and hope that it will encourage further research into Sardinian and other endangered languages about which we know so little. We are also grateful to Roberto Bolognesi and Lucia Molinu for comments, encouragement and practical assistance in creating materials, and to Steven Bird, Mike Broe, Nick Clements and Lucy Ellis for discussion at various points between 1993 and 1999. Extensive comments on a draft of this paper, from Amalia Arvaniti, Roberto Bolognesi, and an anonymous referee are gratefully acknowledged. This is a joint paper, and the authors numes are listed alphabetically. We blame each other for any errors or other shortcomings in the paper.

- Ellis and Hardcastle (1999) show that this may be over-simplistic. In their study of English assimilatory external sandhi, some speakers never assimilate, while others show total assimilation in all cases. Crucially, there are also speakers who have variable output, and while some appear to vary the annount of coarticulation gradiently, others appear to vary categorically between no assimilation and total assimilation. The implications of Ellis and Hardcastle's study are somewhat similar to our own.
- 2 The phenomena addressed here have been extensively described elsewhere, in part because they pose problems for the classical conception of the phoneme (cf. Lüdtke 1953). We summarise only the most relevant aspects here. For an excellent short sketch of Sardinian in general, including the current sociolinguistic situation, see Jones (1988). The classic works on the language are by Wagner (e.g. 1941, 1951, 1960-4). Recent traditional grammars are Blasco Ferrer (1986) and Pittau (1991). A comprehensive instrumental phonetic study of Sardinian dialectology is Contini (1987). There are two recent book-length accounts, of syntax (Jones 1993) and phonology (Bolognesi 1998). A useful general resource is Mensching (12/10/1999).
- 3 Lenited /pi is something like [b]--[β], /ti is [d]--[β], and /ki is [g]--[γ]. In (2c'b--ti') and henceforth we use the symbols [b d g] to indicate the weak occlusion and phonetically variable quality of intervocalic 'stops'.
- The retracted discritic in [dt] {pt] and {pd}] indicates retroflex articulations; see footnote 5. See Section 9.2.2 on the phonetic basis for our use of the length discritic. The realisation of the sequences of underlying final /U and word-initial consonants in (4b) and (4c) are discussed in Section 9.2.3.2 below.
- Further evidence against treating PLGs and lexical geminates as completely equivalent comes from the fact that lexical /dd/, /nn/ and /nd/ are retroflex or apico-alveolar while the corresponding postlexical sequences are lamino-dental (Pittau 1991). The status of retroflexion in Sardinian and various southern Italian dialects (e.g. Sicilian) is a well-known problem which is unfortunately beyond the scope of this paper.

- 6 Two of the speakers, LS and MT, are elderly women, sisters, from Pozzomaggiore (some 40 km south of Sassari, in the Common Logudorese dialect region) but now both living in Rome. They use Sardinian with each other in daily visits or telephone conversations but Standard Italian in the rest of their daily lives. MS is a woman in her thirties from Bosa (on the coast, west of Pozzomaggiore), but who works as an English teacher in Sassari. As with most younger speakers, her lexicon is more Italianised than LS's and MT's but she is revertheless a fluent native speaker.
- The experimental recordings were made on professional equipment in the Department of Theoretical and Applied Linguistics at Edinburgh University. Acoustic analysis of segment duration (using standard annotation strategies) from the digitised recordings was done using the Kay Elemetrics Multispeech system, in the Department of Speech and Language Sciences at Queen Margaret University College, Edinburgh
- We also have a little data on initial /nv/ but not medial /nv/ (or /nunv/, which is rare). In phrase-medial position, non-tonic /nv/ is 67 ms (n=2), while the PLG is 96 ms (n=5). Tonic onset /nv/ is 72 ms (n=2) while the PLG is 115 ms (n=8). Phrase-finally, non-tonic /nv/ is 71 ms (n=2) and tonic /nv/ is 63 ms (n=10). The ratio of singleton to PLG is therefore smaller than for /nv/, but since we have no information on medial /mnv/ we do not know whether this is also true of the lexical contrast. See also Table 9.5.
- Phonetic similarity does not necessarily indicate phonological identity, of course, and nor does phonological identity guarantee phonetic similarity. As we remarked in Section 9.3.1, the statistical and prosodic patterns of a language operate together to reduce the superficial phonetic similarity of structurally different versions of the same category. It is precisely because the prosodic contexts for initial and medial consonants are not typically comparable that, on average, Sardinian PLGs are shorter than lexical geninates.

#### D. Robert Ladd & Junes M. Scobbie

#### References

- Barry, M.C. 1985. A palatographic study of connected speech processes. Cambridge Papers in Phonetics and Experimental Linguistics 4, 1-16.
- Barry, M.C. 1992. Palatalisation, assimilation and gestural weakening in connected speech. Speech Communication 11, 393-400.
- Blasco Ferrer, E. 1986. La Lingua Sarda Contemporanea. Grammatica del Logudorese e del Campidanese. Norma e Varietà dell'uso: Sintesi Storica. Cagliari: Edizioni della Torre.
- Bolognesi. R. 1998. The Phonology of Campidanian Sardinian: A Unitary Account of a Self-Organizing Structure. The Hague: Holland Institute of Generative Linguistics. HIL Dissertations, no. 38.
- Browman, C.P. 1992. Comments on chapter 10, In G.J. Docherty and D.R. Ladd (eds.), Papers in Laboratory Phonology II. Gesture, Segment, Prosody. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 287-289.
- Browman, C.P. 1995, Assimilation as gestural overlap: comments on Holst and Nolan. In B. Connell and A. Arvaniti (eds.), *Phonology and Phonetic Evidence, Papers in Laboratory IV*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 334-342.
- Browman, C.P. and L.M. Goldstein. 1989. Articulatory gestures as phonological units. *Phonology* 6, 201-251.
- Browman, C.P. and L.M. Goldstein. 1990. Tiers in articulatory phonology, with some implications for casual speech. In J. Kingston and M.E. Beckman (eds.). Papers in Laboratory Phonology I. Between the Physics and Grammar of Spaech. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 341-376.
- Clements, G.N. 1976. The autosegmental treatment of vowel harmony. In W.U. Dressler. O.E. Pfeiffer and T. Herok (eds.). *Phonologica 1976*. Innsbruck: Institut für Sprachwissenschaft der Universität Innsbruck, 111-119.
- Clements, G.N. 1987. Phonological feature representation and the description of intrusive stops. In A. Bosch, B. Need and E. Schiller (eds.) Papers from the 23<sup>rd</sup> Annual Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society. Part 2: Parasession on Autosegmental and Metrical Phonology. Chicago: CLS, 29-50.
- Contini, M. 1987. Etude de géographie phonétique et de phonétique instrumentale du sarde. Alessandria: Edizioni dell'Orso.
- Ellis, L. and W.J. Hardcastle (1999) An instrumental study of alveolar to velar assimilation in fast and careful speech. Proceedings of the XIVth International Congress of Phonetic Sciences. Volume 3, 2425-2428.
- Goldsmith, J. 1979. The aims of autosegmental phonology. In D.A. Dinnsen (ed.), Current Approaches to Phonological Theory. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 202-222.
- Hayes, B. 1986. Assimilation as spreading in Toba Batak. Linguistic Inquiry 17, 467-499.
- Hayes, B. 1992. Comments on chapter 10 [i.e. Nolan (1992) DRH & JMS]. In G.J. Docherty and D.R. Ladd (eds.), Papers in Laboratory Phonology II. Gesture, Segment, Prosody. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 280-286.
- Holst, T. and F. Nolan. 1996. The influence of syntactic structure on [s] to [ʃ] assimilation. In B. Connell and A. Arvaniti (eds.), Phonology and Phonetic Evidence, Pa-

#### ardinian External Sandhi

pers in Laboratory IV. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 315-333.

- Jun. J. 1996. Place assimilation is not the result of gestural overlap: evidence from Korean and English. *Phonology* 13, 377-407.
- Jones, M. 1988. Sardinian. In M. Harris and N. Vincent (cds.). The Romance Languages London: Routledge, 314-350.
- Jones, M. 1993. Sardinian Symax. London: Routledge.
- Kühnert, B. 1993. Some kinematic aspects of alveolar-velar assimilations. Forschungsberichte des Instituts für Phonetik und Sprachliche Kommunikation der Universität München (FIPKM) 31, 263-272.
- Ladd. D.R. and J.M. Scobbie. 1996. Sardinian geminates. Oral paper presented at British Association of Academic Phoneticians Colloquium, University of York.
- Liidtke, H. 1953. Il sistema consonantico del sardo logudorese. Orbis 2, 411-422
- Mensching, G. 1994. Einführung in die Sardische Sprache (3td edition).
- Mensching, G. (12/10/1999) Limba e Curtura de sa Sardigna / Sardinian Language and Cultura. [WWW site] http://www.spinfo.uni-koeln.dc/mensch/sardengl.html
- Molinu, L. 1998. La syllabe en surde. Unpublished PhD Thesis, Université de Grenoble. Molinu, L. ms. Il raddoppiamento fonosintattico in sardo: un esempio di analisi speri mentale e fonologica. Universite de Grenoble III (1998).
- Nolan. F. 1992. The descriptive role of segments: evidence from assimilation. In G.J. Docherty and D.R. Ladd (eds.). *Papers in Laboratory Phonology II. Gesture, Segment, Prosody.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 261-280.
- Nolan, F., T. Holst and B. Kühnert. 1996. Modelling [s] to [ʃ] accommodation in English. *Journal of Phonetics* 24, 113-137.
- Pierreliumbert, J.B., J. Hay and M. Beckman, This volume. Speech perception, well-formedness and lexical frequency.
- Piuau. M. 1991. Grammatica della lingua sarda (varietà logudorese). Sassari: Edizioni Carlo Dellino.
- Robins, R.H. 1957 Aspects of Prosodic Analysis. Proceedings of the University of Durham Philosophical Society. Series B. 1, 1-12.
- Scobbie, J.M. 1995. What do we do when phonology is powerful enough to imitate phonetics? Comments on Zsiga. In B. Connell and A. Arvaniti (eds.), *Phonology and Phonetic Evidence*. Papers in Laboratory IV. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 303-314.
- Wagner, M.L. 1941. Fonelica storica del sardo. Cagliari: Trois.
- Wagner, M.L. 1951. La lingua sarda: storia, spirilo, e forma. Bem: Francke
- Wagner, M.L. 1960-64. Dizionario Etimologica Sardo. Heidelberg: Winter. 3 vols.
- Wright, S. and P. Kerswill. 1989. Electropalatography in the study of connected speed processes. Clinical Linguistics and Phonetics 3, 49-57.
- Zsiga, E.C. 1995. An acoustic and electropolatographic study of texical and post-lexical palatalisation in American English. In B. Connell and A. Arvaniti (eds.), Phonology and Phonetic Evidence. Papers in Laboratory IV. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 282-302.
- Zsiga, E.C. 1997. Features, gestures, and Igbo vowel assimilation: an approach to the phonology/phonetics mapping. *Language* 73, 227-274.